

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,936	08/31/2001	Brigitte Bathe	32301WD205	8955
759	90 07/15/2003			
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 800 1850 M STREE		FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L		
WASHINGTON	I, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	17
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TA 0: 41 At -	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer		09/942,936	BATHE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The ALAN DIO DATE AND	Christian L Fronda	1652
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)⊠		.— is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		
Disposition	closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19:	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12,29 and 32-49 is/are pending in t	he application.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,11,12 and 29</u> is/a	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.
5)□	Claim(s) <u>10,32,38-40 and 42</u> is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) <u>33-37,41 and 43-48</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) <u>49</u> is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiremer	ıt.
Application	on Papers	·	
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2001</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b	☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)×	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <u>⊠</u>	〗All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	in Application No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorition application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have beau (PCT Rule 17 2/	peen received in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) ∣ 15)∏ Ac	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application h	as been received.
Attachment(s			
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9.	4)	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) se of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 17

Application/Control Number: 09/942,936 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10 and 32-49 are under consideration in this Office Action.

Claim Objection

2. Claim 49 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 10. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 33-37, 41, and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claimed invention encompass any Coryneform bacterium containing any polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2 having any biological activity. There is no written description of any biological activity assigned to the claimed polypeptide other than the polypeptide being a sigma factor H polypeptide as stated in the specification on page 7, paragraph [0027]. Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention. Claims 34-37, 41, and 43-48 which depend from claim 33 are also rejected because they do not correct the defect of claim 33.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,936 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 33-37, 41, and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 is vague and indefinite because the meaning of the phrase "activity of polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2" is not known and the specific activity of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 is not recited in the claim. Claims 34-37, 41, and 43-48 which depend from claim 33 are also rejected because they do not correct the defect of claim 33.

Claim 37 is vague and indefinite since it is not known if the limitations following the word "including" are part of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

- 7. Claims 10, 32, 38-40, 42 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L. Fronda whose telephone number is (703)305-1252. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura

Application/Control Number: 09/942,936

Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703)308-3804. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

CLF

₹.

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600